The Bonanza, Bookcliffs, Hill Creek and Rainbow Planning Units are located in northeastern Utah within Uintah County. Together, they comprise 987,688 acres, 78% of which is administered by BLM, with the remainder State and privately owned. The Planning Units are bounded on the east by the State of Colorado, on the south by Grand County, on the northwest by the Green River, and on the north by the southern rim of Blue Mountain, near U.S. Highway 40.

The issues which have been tentatively identified at this time and will be addressed in the plans are: (1) Energy Resource Development, (2) Wilderness, (3) Endangered Species, (4) Range and Woodlands, (5) Wild Horse Management, (6) Wildlife Habitat, (7) Archaeological and Historical Resources, (8) Water Resources, (9) Rights of Way and Access, (10) Recreation, (11) Socio-economics, (12) Air Quality, and (13) Transportation.

The disciplines to be represented on the interdisciplinary team are: Wilderness, Sociology, Economics, Land Use, Air Quality, Geology, Soils, Hydrology, Cultural Resources, Vegetation, Wildlife, Recreation, and Transportation.

Public participation activities will take place throughout the amendment process; during identification of issues; comment on planning criteria; upon publication of a draft environmental impact statement; upon publishing the final EIS which is followed by the protest period and a public notice of any significant change made to the plan as a result of a protest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dean Evans, Bookcliffs Area Manager, BLM Vernal District Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, Utah 84078 or telephone (801) 789-1362. Documents relevant to the planning process can be examined at the Vernal District Office during regular hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Lloyd H. Ferguson,

District Manager.

January 30, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-4371 Filed 2-5-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered Species Permit, Emergency Exemption; Import of Imperial Parrot and St. Vincent Parrot

On January 30, 1981, a letter waiving the 30 day public comment period required prior to issuance of a permit was issued to Dr. Thomas D. Nichols, San Antonio, Texas, authorizing emergency actions to enhance the survival of one Imperial parrot (*Amazona imperialis*) and one St. Vincent's parrot (*A. guildingii*). This waiver was granted to allow the issuance of Endangered Species Permit PRT 2-7515 to import the birds for tumor surgery, treatment and care.

It was determined by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that an emergency does in fact exist and that the health and well-being of the birds are threatened and that no reasonable alternitve to the proposed action is available to the applicant.

A copy of the letter of waiver is herewith presented. This emergency waiver is provided in accordance with the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended by Pub. L. 95-632 (92 Stat. 3751).

Dated: January 30, 1981.

Donald G. Donahoo,

Chief, Permit Branch, Federal Wildlife Permit Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 81-4449 Filed 2-5-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

Office of the Secretary

Quechan Indian Reservation Boundaries; Secretarial Determination and Directives

AGENCY: Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of secretarial determination and directives.

SUMMARY: This is a notice of the determination by the Secretary of the Interior of the boundaries of the Fort Yuma, Quechan Indian Reservation and directives necessitated by that determination.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Truesdell, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Trust Protection Unit, Department of the Interior, Room 704, 3030 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85013. Telephone: 241-2310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 20, 1978, the Secretary of the Interior issued a Secretarial decision recognizing the 1884 Executive Order boundary of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation as modified by the Executive Order of December 19, 1900, as the present reservation boundary. That decision provided for the protection of third-party rights on the Reservation. When the decision was published, a complete list of third-party rights had not been compiled. Third parties were notified and requested to submit claims for valid existing rights. A complete list has now been compiled. The Department of the Interior is, therefore, publishing this Notification of Secretarial Determination and Directives in final form.

Note.—The Department of the Interior has determined that this Notice does not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

James G. Watt,

Secretary of the Interior.

Secretarial Determination and Directives

Subject: Quechan Indian Reservation Boundaries.

Sec. 1. Solicitor's Opinion

On December 20, 1978 the Solicitor signed an Opinion recognizing that the 1884 Executive Order boundary of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, as modified by the Executive Order of December 19, 1900, which revoked the portion of the reservation lying south of the Colorado River in the then Territory of Arizona, still remains the reservation boundary. Said Opinion was approved by the Secretary of the Interior on December 20, 1978. A map entitled "Fort Yuma Indian Reservation 1884-1974, revised September 1974 S.D.T.," depicting the general location of the Reservation boundary today is on file in the office of the Area Director, Arizona Bank Building, 3030 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85013. The exact location of the Reservation boundary shall be determined hereafter by survey in accordance with the boundaries recognized by this Notice of Secretarial Determination and Directives (Notice).

Sec. 2. Recognition of Trust Status of Lands

Except as hereinafter stated, all lands which prior to December 20, 1978, were managed under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management or the Bureau of Reclamation [1] and which the map referrd to in Section 1 indicates are within the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation (hereafter referred to as "such lands") are hereby recognized as being held in trust by the United States for the Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation as of January 9, 1884.

Sec. 3. Exceptions and Conditions

The Solicitor's Opinion holds that an 1893 Agreement ratified by an 1894 statute providing for allotment of certain lands on the Fort Yuma Reservation and cession to the United States of other reservation lands was subject to conditions that were never carried out. Although the Solicitor's Opinion holds

[1] In order to maintain consistency with the Secretarial order of December 20, 1978, the Water and Power Resources Services is referred to as the Bureau of Reclamation throughout this notice.

that the cession provided for in the Agreement and statute did not take place, and that Reservation lands which would have been ceded in that statute and which were not later taken pursuant to the 1904 statute, remain in tribal ownership, the Solicitor's Opinion also holds that (1) pursuant to statutes predating 1884, certain valid rights were acquired by third parties that are protected by the Executive Order; (2) pursuant to later statutes, various Reclamation project works were validly constructed on the Reservation; and (3) other valid grants and grants which would have been valid had the lands been public lands were made by agencies of the United States to third parties. Accordingly, reaffirmation and recognition of the Tribe's title in such lands is subject to the exceptions and conditions set forth in this Section 3.

a. There is hereby excepted from the provisions and effect of Section 2 herein all rights of third parties created by or pursuant to Acts of Congress including but not limited to the Act of July 26, 1866, 14 Stat. 289, and the Act of March 3, 1871, 16 Stat. 573, and predating the Executive Order establishing the Reservation in 1884.

b. All rights of third parties to such lands within the now-recognized reservation boundaries which were established pursuant to law prior to December 20, 1978, including but not limited to existing permits, leases, rights-of-way and other non-fee rights and interests, including those generally described in subparagraphs (1)–(44) following (and more particularly described in the cited instruments):

(1) LBR Contracts Nos. 14–06–303–3736, –3737, –3738, –3739, –3740, –3741, –3742, –3743, –3744, –3745, –3746 and –3747, all dated January 1, 1977, consisting of leases for agricultural purposes to various individuals and corporations.

(2) LBR Contract No. 7–07–34–L0026 dated April 15, 1977, issued to Ned Foss for livestock grazing and feeding purposes.

(3) Bureau of Land Management Agricultural Permits, BLM Serial Nos. 1C–1(A), 1C–2(A), 1C–3(A), 1C–5(A), 2C–3(A), 2C–4(A), 2C–5(A), 2C–6(A), 2C–9(A), 2C–14(A), 2C–15(A), 2C–32(A), 2C–35(A), 2C–37(A).

(4) Bureau of Land Management Temporary Residential Permits, BLM Serial Nos. 1C–9(R), 2C–38(R), 2C–41(R), 2C–42(R), 2C–43(R), 2C–44(R), 2C–45(R), 2C–46(R), 2C–48(R), 2C–51(R), 2C–58(R), 2C–CM–4(R), 2C–CM–5(R), 2C–LD–5.

(5) LBR Contract No. 14–06–300–960 issued to California Electric and Power Company on October 6, 1959, for a transmission line.

(6) LBR Contract No. 14–06–303–1330, executed December 5, 1957, and 14–06–303–1412, executed May 9, 1958, consisting of licenses issued to the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for telephone and telegraph line rights-of-way within and across Bureau of Reclamation levee and canal rights-of-way.

(7) LBR unnumbered contract issued April 5, 1961, for an indefinite period, consisting of license issued to Pacific Telephone and Telegraph for a public phone booth.

(8) LBR Contract Nos. 14–06–303–1119, –1120, –1122, executed July 3, 1956, consisting of licenses issued to Southern Pacific Pipelines, Inc., for a petroleum products pipeline right-of-way within and across Bureau of Reclamation canal lateral and drain rights-of-way.

(9) LBR Contract Nos. I–24–R–635, I–24–R–678, dated June 4, 1951, issued to Imperial County for the removal of sand, gravel and road materials.

(10) LBR No. 7–07–34–L0068, dated September 21, 1977, consisting of a license issued to the County of Imperial, California, for a refuse disposal dump.

(11) LBR Contract No. 14–06–300–2283, issued to the State of Arizona for fish and wildlife management at Mittery Lake.

(12) LBR No. 14–06–303–3748, dated January 1, 1977, consisting of a lease to Gladys Reynolds, for a mobile home park.

(13) BLM Permit No. R03272 for a right-of-way for Upper and Lower Reservation levees, issued May 31, 1963, pursuant to § 4(p) of the Act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 7042; 43 U.S.C. § 417).

(14) BLM Permit No. R1278 for a right-of-way for a drainage ditch, issued December 19, 1968, pursuant to § 4(p) of the Act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 704).

(15) BLM Serial No. R05651 right-of-way as specified in BLM decision of August 25, 1964, for a transmission line.

(16) BLM Permit No. LA077775 for a right-of-way for the All-American Canal including appurtenant structures and operating telephone line, issued pursuant to § 4(p) of the Act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 704; 43 U.S.C. § 417).

(17) BLM Permit No. LA055185 for a right-of-way for "Gila drop #4" power transmission line and access road, approved July 23, 1942, pursuant to Act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 672); amended May 19, 1971.

(18) BLM Permit Nos. 732756KELV, 75022–07 and 12271–22 issued to various denominations as mission sites by Departmental Authority of June 8, 1921.

(19) BLM Serial No. LA0164553, right-of-way grant to Imperial Irrigation District, as specified in BLM decision of August 13, 1964, for an electrical transmission line.

(20) BLM Serial No. R2331, right-of-way granted to the California Division of Highways, as specified in BLM decision of June 2, 1970, as amended April 25, 1973, and May 29, 1975, for Interstate Route 8.

(21) BLM Serial No. LA0153552, right-of-way granted to Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Inc., as specified in BLM decision of December 16, 1930, for a highway.

(22) BLM Serial No. LA0164552, right-of-way grant to the Imperial Irrigation District, as specified in BLM decision of November 10, 1960, as amended December 5, 1960, for an electric transmission line.

(23) BLM Serial No. S3484, right-of-way grant to the Southern Pacific Transportation Company, approved February 10, 1910.

(24) BLM Serial No. S3488, right-of-way grant to the Southern Pacific Railroad, approved December 18, 1928, for station grounds.

(25) BLM Serial No. S3450, right-of-way grant to the Southern Pacific Railroad, approved June 18, 1907.

(26) BLM Serial No. S3441, amended right-of-way grant to the California Highway Commission approved October 10, 1927, for a highway.

(27) BLM Serial No. R2704, right-of-way granted to the Supervisors of Imperial County and the State of California, approved on October 24, 1930, noted in General Land Office letter 1396492 "F" IHC of December 16, 1930, for a highway.

(28) BLM Serial No. S3430, right-of-way granted to Southern Pacific Railroad Company by the Act of March 3, 1871, 16 Stat. 573, and confirmed by Section 17 of the Act of August 15, 1894, 28 Stat. 335, for a railroad.

(29) BLM Serial No. R0329, right-of-way grant to the Imperial Irrigation District, as specified in BLM decision of April 9, 1962, for Imperial Laguna Drain No. 2.

(30) BLM Serial No. S3490, right-of-way, approved August 12, 1926, under Act of April 21, 1904 (33 Stat. 224), for transmission line.

(31) BLM Serial No. LA050409, right-of-way, approved July 26, 1932, to the California Highway Commission for relocation of an irrigation canal.

(32) BLM Serial No. CA2720, right-of-way, issued August 1, 1975, to the Imperial Irrigation district for electric distribution line.

(33) BLM Serial No. LA051577, right-of-way, approved March 15, 1934, to the

Southern California Telephone and Telegraph Company for a telephone line.

(34) BLM Serial No. R01909, right-of-way, approved October 12, 1955, under Act of February 4, 1948, 25 CFR 256, for Drain Line No. 9.

(35) BLM Serial No. R551, right-of-way, noted on April 17, 1967, under Act of December 5, 1924 (43 Stat. 704; 43 U.S.C. § 417), for Upper Reservation Levee, as amended February 28, 1973.

(36) BLM Serial No. LA039464, approved August 24, 1939, to Imperial Irrigation District for a power transmission facility.

(37) BLM Serial No. R137, right-of-way grant to the State of California, Division of Highways, approved by decision of February 7, 1969, for Interstate Highway 8.

(38) LBR Contract Nos. 14–06–303–623, executed April 16, 1954, and 14–06–303–1435, executed August 18, 1958, consisting of easements granted to the County of Imperial for roadway and bridge rights-of-way across LBR Canal and drain rights-of-way.

(39) LBR Contract No. 14–06–303–2683, executed December 22, 1967, consisting of a license issued to the County of Imperial for use and maintenance of road crossings on certain structures across the All-American Canal.

(40) LBR Contract No. 14–06–303–2768, executed February 26, 1970, granting to the State of California the right to construct, reconstruct, operate and maintain highway bridges and a highway across the right-of-way reserved for the All-American Canal.

(41) LBR Contract No. 14–06–300–149, consisting of an easement granted to the Imperial Irrigation District on February 19, 1954, (BLM Serial No. R 01908) for the Pilot Knob Power Plant.

(42) BLM Serial No. LA048474, right-of-way to the Imperial Irrigation District, approved December 6, 1930, for poles and transmission line.

(43) 60-foot wide easement along the International boundary reserved by Presidential Proclamation of May 27, 1907, for purposes of patrol and inspection by the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(44) Two powerline extensions into Section 29, T.16S., R.22E. SRM authorized by the March 13, 1967, and April 1, 1966 letters from the Lower Colorado River Land Use Office to cover electric distribution lines to pumps on BLM agricultural permits 1C–1(A) and 1C–3(A).

c. There is hereby excepted from the provisions and effect of Section 2 hereof all rights and interests in lands in the so-called Bard area, including non-contiguous parcels, opened to private settlement pursuant to Section 25 of the Act of April 21, 1904 (33 Stat. 189, 224), including all lands in the Bard area heretofore patented prior to December 20, 1978 pursuant to the Reclamation Law of 1902 and acts amendatory and supplementary thereto and the Act of March 31, 1950, 64 Stat. 39.

As to all rights-of-way listed above which are not on lands listed in Paragraph d of this Section as fee lands of the United States not held in trust for the Quechan Tribe and which were issued under the assumption that the lands involved were not Indian lands, I hereby grant a right-of-way pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Acts of February 5, 1948, 62 Stat. 17, 25 U.S.C. 323–28, each such grant being for the unexpired term of the original grant and subject to precisely the same terms and conditions as contained in the original grant. The Tribe has given its consent to these grants in Resolution R–19–77 dated September 29, 1977, and Resolution R–1–81 dated January 13, 1981, and pursuant to 25 CFR 1.2, I hereby waive the requirements of 25 CFR part 161 pertaining to these rights-of-way, since I find that such waiver is in the best interest of the Quechan Tribe.

As to all other permits, leases and other non-fee rights and interests listed above which are not on lands listed in Paragraph d of this Section as fee lands of the United States not held in trust for the Quechan Tribe, and which were issued under the assumption that the lands involved were not Indian lands, the Tribe has authorized and consented to issuance of such permits, leases and other non-fee rights and interests in Tribal Resolution R–19–77 dated September 29, 1977, and Tribal resolution R–1–81 dated January 13, 1981, and I hereby approve the same, pursuant to authority contained in the Act of May 11, 1938, c. 198, Section 1, 52 Stat. 347, 25 U.S.C. 396a, the Act of August 15, 1894, c. 290, Section 1, 28 Stat. 305, 25 U.S.C. § 402, the Act of July 3, 1926, c. 787, 44 Stat. 894, 25 U.S.C. 402a, and the Act of August 9, 1955, c. 615, Section 1, 69 Stat. 539, 25 U.S.C. 415, and each such permit, lease or other right or interest being for the unexpired term and subject to precisely the same terms and conditions specified in the original instrument. Pursuant to 25 CFR 1.2, I hereby waive the requirements of 25 CFR Parts 131, 151 and 171 pertaining to these permits, leases and other rights and interests since I find that such waiver is in the best interest of the Quechan Tribe.

d. There is hereby excepted from the provisions and effect of Section 2 hereof, fee title in the United States without being held in trust for the Quechan Tribe to the works and appurtenances, including but not limited to the works described in the following subparagraphs 1 through 18, constructed pursuant to Congressional authorization, including the Reclamation Act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388), acts amendatory and supplementary thereto, and the Boulder Canyon Project Act of December 21, 1928 (45 Stat. 1057), and fee title in the United States, without being held in trust for the Quechan Tribe, to lands occupied by all of said works and appurtenances, and there is also reserved the right of the United States, its licensees and contractors, to operate, maintain, and reconstruct said works and appurtenances, including but not limited to:

(1) *The All-American Canal*, and all appurtenances (including, but not limited to, the Pilot Knob Checkdam and Wasteway back to the Colorado River) as generally shown on Drawing No. 35–300–48 dated February 1968, and Drawing No. 35303–2127 dated June 5, 1967, on file at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Phoenix Area Realty Property Management Branch and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) *Laguna Dam Protection and Security Zone*, as shown on Drawing No. 423–300–704 dated June 6, 1972, on file at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Phoenix Area Real Property Management Branch and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) *Laguna Settling Basin, Sediment Disposal Area and Security Zone*, as shown and named as "Channel" and most of the "Wildlife Area" on the above Drawing No. 423–300–704.

(4) *Yuma Main Canal, California Wasteway, and Colorado River Siphon.* The general location of the Yuma Main Canal and California Wasteway as shown and named on the above Drawing No. 35–300–48. The Siphon under the Colorado River is identified as "Siphon" on Drawing No. 212–303–1100, dated March 14, 1944, on file at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Phoenix Area Real Property Management Branch and incorporated herein by reference.

(5) *Detention Reservoir Opposite Wash Overpasses on All-American Canal.* At present, there is only one area defined as a "Detention Reservoir," that being the Detention Reservoir as shown on the above Drawing No. 35–303–2127, basically existing below the All-American Canal. This reservoir is erroneously depicted as being part of the patented Bard area on the map referred to in Section 1 hereof.

(6) *Upper and Lower Reservation and Levees.* The locations of the Upper and Lower Protective Levees shown on Drawing No. 35–300–48; however, the

Upper Levee is denoted only as "Reservation Levee." Both levees are properly denoted on the above Drawing No. 35–303–2127.

(7) *Old Yuma Main Canal.* The general location of this facility, a large portion of which is utilized as an interceptor drain, is shown on the above Drawing No. 212–303–1100.

(8) *Irrigation Canals and Laterals in Reservation Division Yuma Project.* Drawings Nos. 35–3–303–127 and 35–300–48 above show these irrigation facilities.

(9) *All Drainage Channels as Presently Exist.* Drawings Nos. 35–303–2127 and 35–300–48 above, indicate these facilities.

(10) *Siphon Drop and Pilot Knob Power Plants together with existing Related Transmission Lines and Appurtenances.* Both the Siphon Drop and Pilot Knob Power Plant locations as shown on the above Drawing No. 35–300–48, respectively named "Siphon Drop Power Plant" and "Pilot Knob Plant." In both cases, related facilities and appurtenances such as the Pilot Knob Drop, residences, other buildings, and related transmission lines and communication lines are not identified on any drawing.

(11) *Well Clusters.* Several well clusters are placed along the Colorado River Flood Plain in conjunction with the U.S. Geological Survey, the purpose of which is a study to measure return flows. There is no reproducible drawing that would show as a composite the locations. The general locations are shown by "blue" lines on the above Drawing No. 35–300–48.

(12) *Parker-Davis 161-Kv Transmission Line.* This line traverses the area from its crossing of the Colorado River westerly to the Pilot Knob Substation. The location is shown on the above Drawing No. 35–300–48.

(13) *Boundary Pumping Plant 34.5-Kv Transmission Line.* This line interties the Valley Division's boundary pumping plant, the Yuma County Water Users' Association Headquarters Building, California Wasteway, Siphon Drop, and Imperial Dam, and is shown on the above Drawing No. 35–300–48.

(14) *Interconnecting Telephone Electrical, and Remote Control Lines to Project Features.* These features, which are appurtenances to other project facilities, are not contained on any drawings but are largely within rights-of-way of other project features.

(15) *Senator Wash Dam 69-Kv Transmission Line.* Location shown on the above Drawing No. 35–300–38.

(16) *Collector Line (South Gila Drainage).* Location shown on Drawing No. 35–300–48 by "blue" pencil line extending from the Colorado River to South Gila Levee.

(17) *South Gila Levee (including Main Outlet Drain Extension).* Location shown by Drawing No. 35–300–48 as revised in ink to show now existing conditions resulting from installation of a siphon to replace the earlier flume.

(18) *Gauging Station.* Location shown by "blue" pencil mark below the letters "ol" in the word "seminole" on Drawing No. 35–300–48, below the Winterhaven townsite, including access road to cable gauging station in Section 27, T.16S, R 22 E. SBM California.

Provided, however, that should any of the above works and rights-of-way (excepting the works described in subparagraphs (1), (4), and (10) hereto), be abandoned or cease to be used in connection with authorized Reclamation projects by the United States as determined in writing by the Secretary of the Interior equitable title thereto shall revert to the Tribe, in all instances where the Tribe owns equitable title to all lands immediately adjoining said works. A survey of the locations and extent of the areas occupied by the works and rights-of-way referred to above and the material sites referred to in paragraph 7 below will be made as promptly as possible by the United States without cost to the Tribe, and the results of that survey shall be reported to the Tribe, with any dispute referred to the Secretary for resolution.

e. There is hereby reserved to the United States, its officers, agents, employees, contractors, patentees, licensees, and holders of other rights, the right of ingress to, passage over and egress from such lands over existing or relocated roadways at all times for the purpose of exercising the rights specified in this Order and for all lawful purposes in connection with the maintenance and operation of all Reclamation works: *Provided, however,* That new roadways will not be constructed on reservation lands without consent of the Tribe, and that existing roadways may be relocated by the Tribe at its own expense so long as their adequacy for the purpose served by the original roadway is approved by the agency or organization using the roadway to the relocation of the roadway.

f. The Tribe's equitable title to such lands within the flood plain of the Colorado River, including Laguna Dam South Recreational Area, shall be subject to the rights of the United States under the Act of June 28, 1946, 60 Stat 338. The Tribe shall not construct or install or permit the construction or installation of any permanent improvements of such lands within the flood plain or floodway, as shown by "red" coloring on Drawing No. 423–300–1036 (Bureau of Reclamation, Flood Plain Information—Colorado River-Imperial Dam to San Luis, Plate 3 (August 1973)), on file at the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Phoenix Area Branch of Real Property Management and incorporated herein by reference, nor will the Tribe permit said lands to be used in any manner inconsistent, with or contrary to, the purpose or intent of Executive Order No. 11988.

g. There is hereby reserved to the United States the right to continue in exclusive possession of all presently used material sites located within the now recognized reservation boundaries, of sand, gravel, fill, clay and rock to the extent reasonably necessary for operation and maintenance of its project works; *Provided, however,* That the sites are abandoned or the use thereof is changed in any substantial manner by the United States, possession shall revert to the Tribe at its option. *Provided, further,* That the United States shall pay to the Tribe fair market value of any and all materials removed from these sites from and after the date of this Order, and shall make regular periodic reports to the Tribe concerning the value of all materials removed.

Sec. 4. Miscellaneous Provisions

a. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the Tribe from recovering whatever compensation it may be determined is appropriate in any proceeding now pending or hereafter brought against the United States for past use of such lands.

b. The Quechan Tribe has agreed, pursuant to Tribal Resolution #R–1–81 dated January 13, 1981, to relinquish any claim for damages it might have for trespass against third parties who acquired rights-of-way across such lands from the United States under the assumption that such lands were not Indian lands.

c. Any claim for water rights in addition to that amount presently enjoyed under *Arizona* v. *California,* asserted in relation to such lands, will be predicated only upon the criteria heretofore employed in *Arizona* v. *California.*

c. The Order determines the respective interests to such lands of the United States and the Quechan Tribe, subject to the provisions of Section 3 of this Order. This Order does not affect any claim of the State of Arizona or the State of California or any successor of interest thereof may have to such lands.

d. All agencies of the Department are hereby directed promptly to take all necessary steps to implement the

Opinion of the Solicitor and the terms of this Notice of Secreterial Determination and Directives.

Dated: January 30, 1981.

James G. Watt,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 81-4426 Filed 2-5-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

Outer Continental Shelf Advisory Board Meeting Cancellation Notice

The Department of the Interior has cancelled the Annual Outer Continental Shelf Advisory Board meeting scheduled for February 11, 12, and 13, 1981, at the Mills House in Charleston, South Carolina. The meeting was announced in the **Federal Register** January 7, 1981.

The OCS Advisory Board is made up of three committees, the Policy Committee, the Scientific Committee, and six Regional Technical Working Groups. The Board and its component committees advise the Secretary of the Interior and other officers of the Department on all aspects of the OCS program. Its membership includes representatives of the coastal State Governors, the public and private sector and other Federal agencies.

The meeting has been cancelled in order to reduce Federal travel costs.

Dated: February 4, 1981.

Alan D. Powers,

Director, Office of OCS Program Coordination.

[FR Doc. 81-4459 Filed 2-5-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Volume No. OP2-002]

Motor Carrier Permanent Authority Decisions

Decided: February 2, 1981.

The following applications, filed on or after March 1, 1979, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice* (49 CFR 1100.247). These rules provide, among other things, that a petition for intervention, either in support of or in opposition to the granting of an application, must be filed with the Commission on or before March 9, 1981. Protests (such as were allowed to filings prior to March 1, 1979) will be rejected. A petition for intervention without leave must comply with Rule 247(k) which requires petitioner to demonstrate that it (1) holds operating authority permitting performance of any of the service which the applicant seeks authority to perform, (2) has the necessary equipment and facilities for performing that service, and (3) has performed service within the scope of the application either (a) for those supporting the application, or, (b) where the service is not limited to the facilities of particular shippers, from and to, or between, any of the involved points.

Persons unable to intervene under Rule 247(k) may file a petition for leave to intervene under Rule 247(l) setting forth the specific grounds upon which it is made, including a detailed statement of petitioner's interest the particular facts, matters, and things relied upon, including the extent, if any, to which petitioner (a) has solicited the traffic or business of those supporting the application, or, (b) where the identity of those supporting the application is not included in the published application notice, has solicited traffic or business identical to any part of that sought by applicant within the affected marketplace. The Commission will also consider (a) the nature and extent of the property, financial, or other interest of the petitioner, (b) the effect of the decision which may be rendered upon petitioner's interest, (c) the availability of other means by which the petitioner's interest might be protected, (d) the extent to which petitioner's interest will be represented by other parties, (e) the extent to which petitioner's participation may reasonably be expected to assist in the development of a sound record, and (f) the extent to which participation by the petitioner would broaden the issues or delay the proceeding.

Petitions not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rule may be rejected. An original and one copy of the petition to intervene shall be filed with the Commission indicating the specific rule under which the petition to intervene is being filed, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or upon applicant if no representative is named.

Section 247(f) provides, in part, that an applicant which does not intend to timely prosecute its application shall promptly request that it be dismissed, and that failure to prosecute an application under the procedures of the Commission will result in its dismissal.

If an applicant has introduced rates as an issue it is noted. Upon request, an applicant must provide a copy of the tentative rate schedule to any protestant.

Further processing steps will be by Commission notice, decision, or letter which will be served on each party of record. *Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication.*

Any authority granted may reflect administrative acceptable restrictive amendments to the service proposed below. Some of the applications may have been modified to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.gs., unresolved common control, unresolved fitness questions, and jurisdictional problems) we find, preliminarily, that each common carrier applicannt has demonstrated that its proposed service is required by the present and future public convenience and necessity, and that each contract carrier applicant qualifies as a contract carrier and its proposed contract carrier service will be consistent with the public interest and the transportation policy of 49 U.S.C. 10101. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the service proposed and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulation. Except where specifically noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In those proceedings containing a statement or note that dual operations are or may be involved we find, preliminary and in the absence of the issue being raised by a petitioner, that the proposed dual operations are consistent with the public interest and the transportation policy of 49 U.S.C. 10101 subject to the right of the Commission, which is expressly reserved, to impose such terms, conditions or limitations as it finds necessary to insure that applicant's operations shall conform to the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10930(a) [formerly section 210 of the Interstate Commerce Act.]

In the absence of legally sufficient petitions for intervention, filed on or before March 9, 1981 (or, if the application later becomes unopposed), appropriate authority will be issued to each applicant (except those with duly noted problems) upon compliance with certain requirements which will be set forth in a notification of effectiveness of the decision-notice. To the extent that the authority sought below may duplicate an applicant's other authority, such duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Applicants must comply with all specific conditions set forth in the following decision-notices within 30